

High Transaction Weighted Rare Utility Itemset Mining Over Data Streams Using Sliding Window Algorithm

Sreenithya S¹, Sumesh R², Bindu S J³

¹(Department of Computing, Curtin University, Australia)

²(Department of Equipment & Commodities solutions, Z&R Techno-Commercial Solutions Pty Ltd, Australia)

³(Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, College of Engineering Perumon, India)

Abstract: A standout amongst the best recent data mining area is Utility Mining that highlighting on a broad range of utility elements and also integrates utility ideas in data mining process. Rare utility mining that goes for finding itemsets that infrequently occur but has higher utilities in the database. The Existing research on high utility rare itemsets mining concentrate on the static transaction database, where all transactions are treated with the same significance and the database are scanned more than once. Data mining high utility rare items from the evolving data streams is a significant challenge, because of the high arrival rate, enormous in size and so forth. In numerous applications, clients are concerned with recent happenings. Among the different data stream models, sliding window model attracted high interest. This paper proposed a novel strategy, to be precise HTWRUI (High Transaction Weighted Rare Utility Itemset Mining based on Sliding Window model), for proficiently and successfully mine high utility rare itemsets. The model permits the user to decide the window size, window counts and different weights for every window. The novel commitment of HTWRUI is that it can viably extricate those itemsets that show up occasionally in the current time window in one pass.

I. Introduction

In business, things having low offering frequencies (uncommon thing) may have high benefits. These unusual data can help in diverse choice making areas. At the point when contrasted with milk and bread, a few things like a gold ring and a chain [1] are rarely acquired, nonetheless, may pass on exceptional benefits to the seller. These phenomenal affiliations that one as often as possible in databases might not expect however would be critical for a seller. These uncommon or rare finding illustrations develop a novel research problem; that can discover the rare elements of high utility value. Many applications of rare utility mining are there [2], for example, inventory planning, enhancing business development, page association applications, etc. Not at all like data mining using association rule mining, rare utility mining helps to discover productive elements that might not show up as often as possible in databases.

II. Literature Review

Different data mining algorithms were proposed for mining of high utility items from transactional databases [1], [3]. They extract utility itemsets from static databases. However, they cannot be proficiently utilized as a part of applications that are real-time in nature, for example, health care systems, online business trades, banking applications, insurance policies, etc. Mining high utility itemsets over fast, ceaseless and unbounded data streams is an interesting problem because of varying behavior of data and constrained processing speed and memory of computing systems [4]. In data streams, data are continually added, and their sizes are ceaselessly expanded according to the accumulation of transaction data. In this way, patterns created over data streams additionally turn out to be substantial, which means investing much time mining the patterns, and consequently, it can damage one of the requirements for mining data streams. Data mining over data streams [5] must fulfill the necessities in which every information component required for data stream analysis must be scanned just once, and the majority of the entered data must be handled as quickly as time permits and results of data stream mining ought to be accessible in a flash and also their quality ought to be adequate at whatever point user need the outcomes. By the advantages said above, this proposal pushes rare utility itemset mining into data stream mining. In the process of adding data into the data streams constantly, the significance of certain information entered quite a while prior can decay, or they may not be anymore required while as of late included data significance can be moderately high. To apply these qualities in the mining process, a mixture of window model-based mining methodologies have been proposed. The different data stream mining models [6] are the landmark model [7], the tilted-time window model [8] and the sliding window model [9]. Different from the other two models, the sliding window model aims at latest information and user can decide the window size for a fixed period or a given number of transactions [3], [10]. Similar to conventional

sliding window model[11], at a particular point in time, we consider only the data in one window. This research applied weighted sliding window model for mining data stream data and is implemented according to the requirements of data streams[12]. Especially since the sliding, window-based mining methods perform mining operations with just the latest information among huge accumulated data streams; this proposal can generate high-quality surprising results by utilizing them. The model permits the user to determine the how many windows are needed, window size, and the weight for each and every window. In this proposal, HTWRUI, we generate high transaction weighted rare utility itemsets over data streams.

Because of high speed and huge information, utility itemset mining calculation must possess a less storage and be as quick as could reasonably be expected. The proposed approach utilizes the sliding window model that can perform mining operations concentrating on recent parts of data streams and discover that high utility rare patterns. The old frequent pattern mining systems do not fulfill these necessities since they need to go through two or more database scans to mine. In this way, to resolve these issues, proposed approach apply mining methodologies with only one scan and data stream mining can be done efficiently. In real applications many mining algorithms have been developed to discover frequent patterns over data stream[7], [13], [14]. Lots of research has already done to extract latest most frequent data from data streams using sliding window [15], [16]. Be that as it may, these algorithms are not relevant for mining rare utility elements. Unlike association mining, rare utility data mining can discover productive elements that might not show up regularly in databases. Chu and Tseng initially proposed a utility mining strategy on the data stream, the THUI-Mine algorithm, which parts the database into several sections and scans the database more than once. Li, Yeh, & Chang [17] proposed another two algorithms given utility mining on the data stream using sliding window. However, the sliding window data be should be scanned twice, which cannot satisfy the data stream mining requirements. Also, those researches on applying utility mining on data stream cannot discover the rare utility itemsets that might not happen regularly in the database. The proposed approach utilizes the sliding window model that can perform mining operations concentrating on recent parts of data streams and can discover the high utility value rare data in the data stream environment.

III. Problem Definition

Definition 3.1

Utility Mining is defined as a process of discovering those elements with utility values greater than the user specified minimum utility threshold from the transaction database [1], [18]. Let this set of all itemsets be defined as $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots\}$ and T be a transaction set $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$, where each item $i \in I$. All transaction items in set T is given an identifier (T_ID) called transaction identifier. The utility set is defined as $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ [19]. Consider an example, in transaction T_1 in TABLE 1, the count of items a, d, e are 1, 2, 1 respectively.

Definition 3.2

An itemset X utility value is defined as $u(X)$, is the summation of all utility values of itemset X in every transaction that contains the itemset X [20].

Definition 3.3

An itemset X is defined as a high utility itemset if $u(X) \geq$ minimum utility threshold (min_utility)[21], [22], [23].

Definition 3.4

The internal utility defines the amount of itemset X in T . The internal utility of an itemset mirror show often an itemset occurs in a transaction database. Consider TABLE 1, the internal utility of itemset a in transaction T_1 is 1[24], [25], [26], [27].

Definition 3.5

The external utility of an itemset is defined as the profit of an itemset. Consider TABLE 2, the external utility of itemset a is $u(a) = 1$ [28], [29].

Definition 3.6

The itemsets that occasionally happen in the transaction data set is defined as rare itemsets. The rare itemset with high utilities gives precious bits of knowledge to the user.

Definition 3.7

The Transaction weighted utility value of an itemset X is the sum of the transaction utility values of all the transactions containing X [1].

Definition 3.8

An itemset X is called a high-transaction weighted utility (HTWU) itemset if the transaction weighted utility value of an itemset X is not less than the minimum utility threshold value[1],[30].

Definition 3.9

The high transaction weighted rare utility (HTWRU) itemsets are itemsets that have support count below the minimum threshold of support specified by the user.

IV. Proposed Approach

Illustration of how the weighted sliding window model can be used effectively in data stream environment for finding out high utility value rare elements. The high utility rare elements in a weighted sliding window model data stream environment is defined as those elements that have support count greater than the supposed minimum threshold value in the recent window. Extracting these high utility rare itemsets is a significant procedure in a data stream environment. The window size is characterized by time and never by the transaction size. The user can assign the window counts for the mining process [10]. They can also assign dissimilar weights to each and every window depending on the significance of data. For example, the weight of each window $w_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq 4)$ is $\alpha_1 = 0.4, \alpha_2 = 0.3, \alpha_3 = 0.2, \alpha_4 = 0.1, \sum_{j=1}^4 \alpha_j = 1$. Assigning a higher weight to a nearer window is helpful to get the result after mining nearer to client's necessities. From the TABLE 1, Transaction data at different times are illustrated. Window W_{ij} means j varies from 1 to 4, and i represent time. For instance, W_{14} means Sliding window four at time T_1 .

Table1 Transaction Data at Different Times

Sliding Window At interval PT3	Sliding Window At interval PT2	Sliding Window At interval PT1	Transaction Data
		W_{14}	$T_1\{(a,1),(d,2),(e,1)\}$ $T_2\{(a,3),(b,5)\}$ $T_3\{(a,1),(b,2)\}$
	W_{24}	W_{13}	$T_4\{(a,2),(b,5)\}$ $T_5\{(c,1),(d,1)\}$ $T_6\{(d,3),(e,2)\}$ $T_7\{(b,3),(c,1)\}$
W_{34}	W_{23}	W_{12}	$T_8\{(a,2),(b,3),(c,2)\}$ $T_9\{(c,1),(d,2)\}$
W_{33}	W_{22}	W_{11}	$T_{10}\{(d,1),(e,2)\}$ $T_{11}\{(a,1),(b,1),(d,5)\}$ $T_{12}\{(c,2),(d,1),(e,5)\}$
W_{32}	W_{21}		
W_{31}			

Table2 Utility TABLE

ITEM	UTILITY(per unit)
a	1
b	5
c	9
d	5
e	3

Table 3 Transaction TABLE with Utility Values

Transaction ID	Transaction Set	Utility
T1	$T_1\{(a,1),(d,2),(e,1)\}$	14
T2	$T_2\{(a,3),(b,5)\}$	28
T3	$T_3\{(a,1),(b,2)\}$	11
T4	$T_4\{(a,2),(b,5)\}$	64
T5	$T_5\{(c,1),(d,1)\}$	41
T6	$T_6\{(d,3),(e,2)\}$	21
T7	$T_7\{(b,3),(c,1)\}$	24
T8	$T_8\{(a,2),(b,3),(c,2)\}$	35
T9	$T_9\{(c,1),(d,2)\}$	19
T10	$T_{10}\{(d,1),(e,2)\}$	11
T11	$T_{11}\{(a,1),(b,1),(d,5)\}$	31
T12	$T_{12}\{(c,2),(d,1),(e,5)\}$	38

Using the above TABLES, consider two cases that show the effects of weight on sliding windows in utility mining. Firstly; consider the case with sliding windows without concerning weight. Let us assume that the minimum utility threshold value is 90. The utility values of each itemset based on the above TABLES are evaluated as follows.

$$u(\{a\}) = u(\{a\}, w1) + u(\{a\}, w2) + u(\{a\}, w3) + u(\{a\}, w4) = 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 + 5 \times 1 = 10$$

$$\text{Similarly, } u(\{b\}) = 1 \times 5 + 3 \times 5 + 8 \times 5 + 7 \times 5 = 95$$

$$u(\{d\}) = 7 \times 5 + 2 \times 5 + 6 \times 5 + 2 \times 5 = 85$$

$$u(\{e\}) = 6 \times 3 + 2 \times 3 + 1 \times 3 = 27$$

From the above calculations, the high utility itemset is $\{b\}$. Secondly; consider the case with sliding windows concerning weight. In this case the minimum weighted utility threshold value is characterised as the fractional value of minimum utility threshold value and number of sliding windows. Thus, minimum weighted utility threshold = $90/4 = 22.5$. The weighted utilities of itemsets based on the above TABLEs are evaluated as follows.

$$wu(\{a\}) = wu(\{a\}, w1) + wu(\{a\}, w2) + wu(\{a\}, w3) + wu(\{a\}, w4) = 1 \times 1 \times 0.4 + 2 \times 1 \times 0.3 + 2 \times 1 \times 0.2 + 5 \times 1 \times 0.1 = 1.9$$

$$\text{Similarly, } wu(\{b\}) = 1 \times 5 \times 0.4 + 3 \times 5 \times 0.3 + 8 \times 5 \times 0.2 + 7 \times 5 \times 0.1 = 18$$

$$wu(\{d\}) = 7 \times 5 \times 0.4 + 2 \times 5 \times 0.3 + 6 \times 5 \times 0.2 + 2 \times 5 \times 0.1 = 24$$

$$wu(\{e\}) = 6 \times 3 \times 0.4 + 2 \times 3 \times 0.2 + 1 \times 3 \times 0.2 = 9$$

From the above calculations, $\{d\}$ becomes the high weighted utility itemset. The above two calculations simply that the window weights can influence the determination of high weighted utility itemsets. Regardless of the fact that if an itemset utility in the high weighted window is low and, the total utility value of that itemset is large, it may not turn into a high weighted utility itemset. Accordingly, for getting the mining result closer to user's necessities, we need to assign out a higher weight to a closer window. Thus a weighted sliding window based high weighted utility itemsets mining algorithm is proposed. An algorithm is described as follows:

Algorithm

Description: Mining high utility rare itemset in data streams based on weighted Sliding window algorithm

Min_utility threshold \min_u

Size of window = t

Weight of window W_{ij} at time $T_i = \alpha_j \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$

Compute the support count of each itemset

Step 1: Assume current time is T_i

Scan window $W_{ij} (1 \leq j \leq n)$

Suppose $n=4$, so scan window $w_{1j} \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4$

Evaluate 1. The transaction utility (TU) for each transaction

2. the transaction weighted utility for each item.

Step 2: Minimum weighted utility threshold $\min_wu = \min_u/n$

Step 3: Find HTWU-1 itemsets (HT1) (i.e., those itemsets whose TWU is $\geq \min_wu$)

Step 4: By applying the weight of the sliding windows calculate the weighted utilities of each itemset from step 3.

Step 5: Find HWU 1-itemsets (H1) (i.e., those itemsets whose $TWU \geq \min_utility$)

Step 6: For each item HWU 1-itemsets (H1) if (support[H1] < min_support) and if H1 is a rare itemset then

Then H1 is a rare high utility itemset

Step 7: For ($k=2; |HT_{k-1}| > 1; k++$)

Generate the set of HTWU_K itemset from HT_{k-1}

For each HTWU_K itemsets find the transaction weighted utility itemsets $TWU(X) \geq \min_u$

For each item HWU K-itemsets (HK) if (support[HK] < min_support)

Then HK is a rare item.

Step 8: $i=i+1, PT_i = PT_{i-1} + t$

For ($j=1; j \leq n-1; j++$)

$T_{i(j+1)}\{x\} = T_{i-1}\{x\}$

End

Step 9: Scan W_{i1} , evaluate TU for each transaction in W_{i1} and TWU for each item

Step 10: Go to step 3.

V. Explanation Of The Proposed Algorithm With Examples

Let us consider the weighted utility minimum threshold value be 20. We illustrated the weighted sliding window based mining process of extracting high weighted utility rare itemsets in the next sections. It consists of 2 stages.

Stage 1: Generate High Transaction Weighted Utility Itemsets.

In this step, at time PT_1 every window that has transaction data are examined once as shown in TABLE 4. Then each transaction's utility value is generated as shown below in TABLE 5.

Table 4 Weighted Sliding Window at Time Pt1

Items	W11 $\alpha=0.1$	W12 $\alpha=0.2$	W13 $\alpha=0.3$	W14 $\alpha=0.4$
A	(1,1),(2,3), (3,1)	(5,2)	(8,2)	(11,1)
B	(2,5),(3,2)	(5,5),(7,3)	(8,3)	(11,1)
C	-----	(4,6),(5,1),(7,1)	(8,2),(9,1)	(12,2)
D	(1,2)	(4,2),(5,1),(6,3)	(9,2)	(10,1),(11,5)
E	(1,1)	(6,2)	-----	(12,5),(10,2)

Table5 Utility Value of each Transaction

Transaction ID	Utility
T1	14
T2	28
T3	11
T4	64
T5	41
T6	21
T7	24
T8	35
T9	19
T10	11
T11	31
T12	38

Next step is to evaluate the transaction weighted utility for each item in each window. Forexample $j=4$ (I.e., fourth window) transaction weighted utility value for itemset, a is calculated as the summation of utility values of T1, T2, and T3 as defined in the definition 3.8. Transaction weighted utility (a) = Transaction Utility (T1) + Transaction Utility (T2) + Transaction Utility (T3) = 14 + 28 + 11 = 53

Similarly, for itemset b is 39; for itemset c is 0, for itemset d is 14 and itemset e is 14. Correspondingly, transaction weighted utility for each item in all four windows is illustrated in TABLE 6. From TABLE 6, it is strong that the set of High Transaction Weighted Utility Itemsets (HTWU_1-itemsets) are {c} and {d}.

Stage2: Generate High Transaction Weighted Rare Utility (HTWRU) itemsets from HTWU itemsets. The itemsets that come under the support threshold value are called rare itemsets. Based on the rate of occurrence of high transaction weighted utility itemsets, we have to determine the rare itemsets. Let us consider the minimum threshold support value be 0.8. i.e., $\text{min_sup}=0.8$. Examine all the high transaction weighted utility itemsets that are mined during Phase 1 to identify those that have support value below the minimum threshold support value. Thus, the support count of all itemsets is tabulated below.

Table 6 Transaction Weighted Utility for each item

Items	J=4	J=3	J=2	J=1	TWU
{a}	53	41	35	31	36.4
{b}	39	65	35	31	39.4
{c}	0	129	54	38	57.2
{d}	14	126	19	80	54.3
{e}	14	21	0	49	25.2

Table 7 Support Count

Item	{a}	{b}	{c}	{d}	{e}
Support count	1.0	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.6

The TABLE 7 shows that {c}, {e} are the rare items at time t1. However, since {e} is not an HTWU itemset [4], it is not mined after phase 1. Thus, from the HTWU_1-itemsets, the HTWRU itemset is {c}, as it has support value below the min_sup provided. Similarly, this approach can discover all rare utility value itemsets from each sliding windows at different times.

VI. Experimental Evaluation

To extract the high utility weighted rare transaction itemsets from a data stream environment, we conducted a number of experiments in various user defined parameters. Likewise, the proficiency of the proposed calculation is assessed by differing different parameters. The model is executed in J2SDK 1.5.0. The proposed algorithm is conducted on a machine with 3.0 GHz CPU and 8 GB memory. The experimental data used for evaluation is obtained from a utility itemset mining implementations repository, FoodMart2000, Microsoft Developer Network (MSDN), NU-MineBench version 2.0 dataset and technical report.

VII. Conclusions

In this paper, HTWURI mining algorithm is proposed, for mining high utility rare itemset using the weighted sliding window model. The algorithm can perform mining operations concentrating on recent parts of data streams and can extract the rare pattern that provides outstanding profit to the user. Another exciting feature of this approach is that it can reuse the stored information efficiently to identify all the high weighted rare utility elements. Experimental evaluations demonstrated that the proposed algorithm of mining high transaction weighted rare utility itemsets (HTWURI) based on data streams can efficiently extract high utility weighted rare transaction itemsets.

References

- [1]. S. A. R. Niha and U. N. Dulhare, "Extraction of high utility rare itemsets from transactional databases," in *2014 International Conference on Computer and Communications Technologies (ICCCCT)*, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [2]. B.-E. Shie, V. S. Tseng, and P. S. Yu, "Online mining of temporal maximal utility itemsets from data streams," in *Proceedings of the 2010 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, 2010, pp. 1622–1626.
- [3]. V. S. Tseng, C. W. Wu, P. Fournier-Viger, and P. S. Yu, "Efficient Algorithms for Mining Top-K High Utility Itemsets," *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 54–67, Jan. 2016.
- [4]. M. Deypir, M. H. Sadreddini, and M. Tarahomi, "An Efficient Sliding Window Based Algorithm for Adaptive Frequent Itemset Mining over Data Streams," *J Inf Sci Eng*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1001–1020, 2013.
- [5]. A. Alzghoul and M. Löfstrand, "Increasing availability of industrial systems through data stream mining," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 195–205, Mar. 2011.
- [6]. C. Xu, Y. Chen, and R. Bie, "Sequential Pattern Mining in Data Streams Using the Weighted Sliding Window Model," in *2009 15th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Systems (ICPADS)*, 2009, pp. 886–890.
- [7]. C. F. Ahmed, S. K. Tanbeer, and B. S. Jeong, "Efficient Mining of Weighted Frequent Patterns over Data Streams," in *11th IEEE International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications, 2009. HPCC '09*, 2009, pp. 400–406.
- [8]. C. Giannella, J. Han, J. Pei, X. Yan, and P. S. Yu, "Mining frequent patterns in data streams at multiple time granularities," *Gener. Data Min.*, vol. 212, pp. 191–212, 2003.
- [9]. Y. Chi, H. Wang, P. S. Yu, and R. R. Muntz, "Moment: Maintaining closed frequent itemsets over a stream sliding window," in *Data Mining, 2004. ICDM'04. Fourth IEEE International Conference on*, 2004, pp. 59–66.
- [10]. P. S. M. Tsai, "Mining frequent itemsets in data streams using the weighted sliding window model," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 11617–11625, Nov. 2009.
- [11]. L. N. Hung, T. N. T. Thu, and G. C. Nguyen, "An Efficient Algorithm in Mining Frequent Itemsets with Weights over Data Stream Using Tree Data Structure," *Int. J. Intell. Syst. Appl.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 23–31, Nov. 2015.
- [12]. M. Deypir, M. H. Sadreddini, and S. Hashemi, "Towards a variable size sliding window model for frequent itemset mining over data streams," *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 161–172, Aug. 2012.
- [13]. C. Raïssi, P. Poncelet, and M. Teisseire, "Towards a new approach for mining frequent itemsets on data stream," *J. Intell. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 23–36, 2007.
- [14]. A. Metwally, D. Agrawal, and A. E. Abbadi, "An integrated efficient solution for computing frequent and top-k elements in data streams," *ACM Trans. Database Syst. TODS*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 1095–1133, 2006.
- [15]. J. H. Chang and W. S. Lee, "estWin: Online data stream mining of recent frequent itemsets by sliding window method," *J. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 76–90, 2005.
- [16]. H. M. Nabil, A. S. Eldin, and M. A. E.-F. Belal, "Mining Frequent Itemsets from Online Data Streams: Comparative Study," *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl. IJACSA*, vol. 4, no. 7, 2013.
- [17]. C.-J. Chu, V. S. Tseng, and T. Liang, "An efficient algorithm for mining temporal high utility itemsets from data streams," *J. Syst. Softw.*, vol. 81, no. 7, pp. 1105–1117, Jul. 2008.
- [18]. C. Manike and H. Om, "Modified GUIDE (LM) algorithm for mining maximal high utility patterns from data streams," *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 517–529, May 2015.
- [19]. V. K. Verma and K. Saxena, "Mining Low, Medium and High Profit Customers Over Transactional Data Stream," *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 92, no. 8, 2014.
- [20]. G.-C. Lan, T.-P. Hong, and V. S. Tseng, "An efficient projection-based indexing approach for mining high utility itemsets," *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 85–107, 2014.
- [21]. H. Yao, H. J. Hamilton, and L. Geng, "A unified framework for utility-based measures for mining itemsets," in *Proc. of ACM SIGKDD 2nd Workshop on Utility-Based Data Mining*, 2006, pp. 28–37.
- [22]. M. Adda, L. Wu, and Y. Feng, "Rare Itemset Mining," in *Sixth International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications, 2007. ICMLA 2007*, 2007, pp. 73–80.
- [23]. H.-F. Li, "MHUI-max: An efficient algorithm for discovering high-utility itemsets from data streams," *J. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 532–545, Oct. 2011.
- [24]. T. P. Hong, C. H. Lee, and S. L. Wang, "Mining high average-utility itemsets," in *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2009. SMC 2009*, 2009, pp. 2526–2530.
- [25]. G.-C. Lan, T.-P. Hong, Y.-H. Lin, and S.-L. Wang, "Fuzzy utility mining with upper-bound measure," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 30, pp. 767–777, May 2015.
- [26]. H.-F. Li, H.-Y. Huang, and S.-Y. Lee, "Fast and memory efficient mining of high-utility itemsets from data streams: with and without negative item profits," *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 495–522, Jul. 2010.

- [27]. Chiranjeevi Manike and Hari Om, "High-Utility Patterns Discovery in Data Mining: A Case Study," in *Case Studies in Intelligent Computing*, 0 vols., Auerbach Publications, 2014, pp. 247–270.
- [28]. J. S. Yeh, P. C. Hsu, and M. H. Wen, "Novel Algorithms for Privacy Preserving Utility Mining," in *2008 Eighth International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, 2008, vol. 1, pp. 291–296.
- [29]. K. Subramanian and P. Kandhasamy, "UP-GNIV: an expeditious high utility pattern mining algorithm for itemsets with negative utility values," *Int. J. Inf. Technol. Manag.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 26–42, 2015.
- [30]. G.-C. Lan, T.-P. Hong, and V. S. Tseng, "Discovery of high utility itemsets from on-shelf time periods of products," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 5851–5857, May 2011.